

Audit Progress Report and Sector Update

Ashford Borough Council
Year ending 31 March 2020

September 2020



Contents

Section	Page
Introduction	3
Progress at September 2020	4
Audit Deliverables	6
Sector Update	7

Introduction



Darren Wells

Engagement Lead

T 01293 554120

M 07880 456152

E darren.j.wells@uk.gt.com



Trevor Greenlee

Engagement Manager

T 01293 554071

M 07880 456148

E trevor.greenlee@uk.gt.com

This paper provides the Audit Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors.

The paper also includes a summary of emerging national issues and developments that may be relevant to you as a Council.

Members of the Audit Committee can find further useful material on our website, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector. Here you can download copies of our publications www.grantthornton.co.uk.

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing, or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Engagement Manager.

Progress at September 2020

Financial Statements Audit

Update to 2019-20 Audit Plan: Covid 19

We undertook our initial planning for the 2019/20 audit in early 2020. Our detailed audit plan setting out our proposed approach to the audit of the Council's 2019/20 financial statements was reported to the March Audit Committee.

We have now updated our planning risk assessment in the light of the Covid-19 pandemic. We issued an update to our audit plan in August 2020. This update has identified a new significant financial statements risk in relation to Covid-19.

Our External Audit Plan update is included as a separate item on today's agenda.

Covid-19: Impact on working arrangements

The Council published its draft financial statements on 27 August 2020. Our year end accounts audit is now in progress.

The pandemic has had an impact on the working arrangements for our audit. Both the audit team and the Council's finance team are currently working from home.

Although there are some audit tasks which are best undertaken in person, we anticipate that we will be able to complete our audit work remotely. However, there may be individual tasks where if physical access is not possible we will need to liaise with management to agree on an alternative approach.

A remote working environment is likely to mean that the audit process takes longer, particularly with regard to obtaining sufficient, appropriate audit evidence. We will continue to work closely with your finance team to make the current working arrangements as efficient as possible.

Our Audit Findings Report will be presented to the November Audit Committee.

Value for Money

The scope of our value for money work is set out in the guidance issued by the National Audit Office. The Code requires auditors to satisfy themselves that; "the Council has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources".

The NAO guidance for 2019/20 confirms that the overall criterion remains that: "in all significant respects, the audited body had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people".

The three sub-criteria which auditors consider in arriving at an overall conclusion are:

- Informed decision making
- Sustainable resource deployment
- Working with partners and other third parties

We will report our value for money work in the Audit Findings Report and issue our Value for Money Conclusion at the same time as the audit opinion. We will review the Council's response to the financial implications of Covid 19 as part of our work.

NAO – Code of Audit Practice

The NAO consultation on a new Code of Audit Practice (the "Code") has finished and the new Code has completed its approval process in Parliament. The new Code is applicable for the 2020/21 and following audit years. It supersedes the Code of Audit Practice 2015, which was published by the National Audit Office (NAO) in April 2015.

The most significant change under the new Code is the introduction of an Auditor's Annual Report containing a commentary on arrangements to secure value for money and any associated recommendations. Further detail on the changes is included at page 8 of this progress report.

Progress at September 2020

Audit Fees

In our March 2020 audit plan we noted the impact of a number of wider developments within the accounting and audit profession, including;

- the expectations of the Financial Reporting Council (FRC) for improved financial reporting from organisations and the need for auditors to demonstrate increased scepticism and challenge and to undertake additional and more robust testing.
- areas where our work had highlighted the need for improvements in financial reporting, in particular property, plant and equipment and pensions
- an increase in the complexity of Local Government financial transactions and financial reporting.

As a result we noted that in agreement with PSAA we would be seeking approval to secure additional fees to reflect the increased level of audit work required to discharge our responsibilities. Our 2019/20 audit plan included fee variations of £7500 in addition to the scale fee of £46,439.

We can confirm that PSAA have now given their approval in principle to the proposed fee variations for 2019/20.

Sector Update

Covid-19

An update on the impact of the current pandemic on local authorities is included at page 9.

Publications

Details of publications that may be of interest to the Council are included from page 15.

Redmond review

The Redmond review on local authority audit was published on 8 September 2020. The report's recommendations include;

- a new regulator - the Office of Local Audit and Regulation will be created to manage, oversee and regulate local audit, replacing the roles of the FRC and PSAA
- the deadline for publishing audited local authority accounts be revisited with a view to extending it to 30 September from 31 July each year
- revisions to the current fee structure for local audit to ensure that adequate resources are deployed to meet the full extent of local audit requirements.
- accounts simplification - CIPFA/LASAAC be required to review the statutory accounts to determine whether there is scope to simplify the presentation of local authority accounts
- recognition of the role of authorities in improving governance and reporting and
- development of audited and reconciled accounts summaries.

An article by Jon Roberts, head of public policy audit at Grant Thornton, on the outcomes from the review can be found via the link below.

https://twitter.com/public_finance_/status/1304412166016163844?s=12

Audit Deliverables

2019/20 Deliverables	Planned Date	Status
<p>Fee Letter</p> <p>Our fee letter confirms the audit fee for 2019/20.</p>	July 2019	Complete
<p>Accounts Audit Plan</p> <p>We are required to issue a detailed accounts audit plan to the Audit Committee setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Council's 2019-20 financial statements.</p>	March 2020	Complete
<p>Audit Plan - Addendum</p> <p>An addendum to our audit plan has been issued which considers the impact of the Covid 19 pandemic on our audit.</p>	September 2020	Included as a separate item on today's agenda
<p>Audit Findings Report</p> <p>The Audit Findings Report summarises the outcomes from our work on the financial statements and to support our value for money conclusion. Our work will now be reported to the November Audit Committee.</p>	November 2020	Not yet due
<p>Auditors Report</p> <p>This is the opinion on your financial statements, annual governance statement and value for money conclusion.</p>	November 2020	Not yet due
<p>Annual Audit Letter</p> <p>The annual audit letter communicates the key issues arising from our 2019/20 work.</p>	December 2020	Not yet due

Sector Update

Councils continue to try to achieve greater efficiency in the delivery of public services, whilst facing the challenges to address rising demand, ongoing budget pressures and social inequality.

Our sector update provides you with an up to date summary of emerging national issues and developments to support you. We cover areas which may have an impact on your organisation, the wider local government sector and the public sector as a whole. Links are provided to the detailed report/briefing to allow you to delve further and find out more.

Our public sector team at Grant Thornton also undertake research on service and technical issues. We will bring you the latest research publications in this update. We also include areas of potential interest to start conversations within the organisation and with audit committee members, as well as any accounting and regulatory updates.

- [Grant Thornton Publications](#)
- [Insights from local government sector specialists](#)
- [Reports of interest](#)
- [Accounting and regulatory updates](#)

More information can be found on our dedicated public sector and local government sections on the Grant Thornton website by clicking on the logos below:

Public Sector

Local
government

NAO – New Code of Audit Practice

The NAO issued a new Code of Audit Practice which came into force on 1 April 2020 and applies to audits of 2020-21. The key change is an extension to the framework for VfM work. The NAO has prepared Auditor Guidance Note (AGN 03), which sets out detailed guidance on what VfM work needs to be performed. Public consultation on this ended 2 September.

The new approach to VfM re-focuses the work of local auditors to:

- promote more timely reporting of significant issues to local bodies;
- provide more meaningful and more accessible annual reporting on VfM arrangements issues in key areas;
- provide a sharper focus on reporting in the key areas of financial sustainability, governance, and improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness; and
- provide clearer recommendations to help local bodies improve their arrangements.

Under the previous Code, auditors had only to undertake work on VfM where there was a potential significant risk and reporting was by exception.

Under the new Code, auditors are required to undertake work to provide a commentary against three criteria set by the NAO – governance; financial sustainability and improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

A new Auditor's Annual Report presented at the same time as the audit opinion is the forum for reporting the outcome of the auditor's work on value for money. It is required to contain:



The 'Commentary on arrangements' will include a summary under each of the three specified reporting criteria and compared to how the results of VfM work were reported in previous years, the commentary will allow auditors to better reflect local context and also to draw attention to emerging or developing issues which may not represent significant weaknesses, but which may nevertheless require attention from the body itself. The commentary will not simply be a description of the arrangements in place, but an evaluation of those arrangements.

Recommendations : Where an auditor concludes there is a significant weakness in a body's arrangements, they report this to the body and support it with a recommendation for improvement.

Progress in implementing recommendations: Where an auditor has reported significant weaknesses in arrangements in the previous year, the auditor should follow up recommendations issued previously and include their view as to whether the recommendations have been implemented satisfactorily

Use of additional powers: Where an auditor uses additional powers, such as making statutory recommendations or issuing a public interest report, this needs to be reported in the auditor's annual report.

Opinion on the financial statements: The auditor's annual report also needs to summarise the results of the auditor's work on the financial statements. This is not a replacement for the AFR, or a verbatim repeat of it – it is simply a summary of what the opinion audit found

The new approach is more complex, more involved and will subsequently increase the cost of audit. We will be discussing this with senior managers shortly.


Covid-19 update

Where are we now?

Over five months into lockdown and councils have moved from the initial emergency response phase to focus on recovery planning which is running in parallel with on-going responses to the pandemic, such as supporting vulnerable people, and managing the capacity challenges of delivering business as usual alongside covid-19 response.

The Government has confirmed three tranches of funding to support the impact of increase spend and reduced income directly attributed to Covid-19, and are in the process of confirming further support via the income compensation scheme.

Local Authority Income and Expenditure (England) 2018/19 to 2028/29



Source: Grant Thornton/CIPFA Financial Foresight

Financial Foresight forecast indicates that English local authorities have a funding gap of £1.9bn this financial year, rising to over £10bn in 2021/22. There is significant uncertainty as to whether the Government will provide further Covid-19 related funding, and what the medium-term funding for the sector will be following the Autumn's Comprehensive Spending Review. Our modelling currently assumes that government funding will remain broadly unchanged, with income being affected by ongoing reduction to Council Tax and Business Rates, both in terms of a reduction to these tax bases, alongside reduced payments as a consequence of the recession brought about by the pandemic.

and support the vulnerable, whatever scenarios play out in the medium term.

The uncertainty also impacts on future spending pressures and sales fees and charges income. For example, leisure centres and swimming pools can now be opened, but must follow Government guidelines on issues such as social distancing. Not all leisure services have been able to reopen, and those that have are not able to generate levels of income originally forecast pre-covid. Social care faces uncertainty in relation to future demand, for example most councils responsible for children's services are forecasting an increase in case load when children return to schools in September. For adults, where in some cases demand has fallen during the pandemic, there is uncertainty over future levels of demand. There is also concern over provider failure in relation to social care and other services such as leisure and transport, with many councils providing financial support and loans to some providers, which will not be sustainable in the medium term.

As place leaders, councils are managing the conflict between revitalizing footfall in high streets and keeping people safe, with some leading by example and encouraging council officers to spend some of the week in council offices. Use of public transport as a key mode of travel to get to work remains a particular challenge.

Lessons learned

All organisations, including councils, have been reflecting on the lessons learned from the pandemic, and are seeking to maintain the positive experiences as well as learn from the challenges, as part of recovery planning. There is a recognition that technology has enabled many people to successfully work remotely, and that this will have a fundamental impact on working patterns well after Covid-19 has passed. Councils are reviewing their property portfolios to understand the changes required in terms of future usage patterns, including how councils interact with their communities, whether parts of the municipal estate should be disposed, and whether alternate use of space can support income generation.

There will be demographic variations between places, meaning there is no "one size fits all" to economic recovery. For example, home to work geographies will vary, with some people who previously commuted into a council area for their work may now be considering office space closer to home, leading to a rise in demand for shared office space in some areas, that will in part counterbalance the fall in demand elsewhere.

Covid-19 update (cont'd)

Lessons Learned (Cont'd)

Many councils have recognized the improvement in community engagement and partnership working with the voluntary sector and other public sector organisations during the pandemic and are seeking to build on this, with a recognition that sharing responsibility for place-based recovery plans can help sustain the improvements gained. Although a shared view of place-based recovery takes an investment of time and resource that not all partner organisations are able to provide.

Wider learning relates to central vs local response to issues such as provision of PPE, housing the homeless and rough sleepers, and provision of food and equipment to the vulnerable. This is currently playing out on test and trace and how local lockdowns should be managed, with ongoing tension between national and local government.

Many councils understand the importance of data in supporting recovery planning decision making, to effectively understand where to prioritise resources and activity in the right way and at the right time to achieve the right outcomes.

The future?

Covid-19 has only increased volatility and uncertainty for local government, and when working with councils delivering Financial Foresight we have prioritized scenario planning to support strategic financial planning. Understanding best, worst and optimum case scenarios from the impact of the pandemic are critical in strategic discussion when setting next year's budget and updating the Medium-Term Financial Plan – impacts on the place and communities, as well as on the council services and the council as an organization. Some councils are more confident than others in being able to manage their financial position during 2020/21 but all are concerned about 2021/22 and beyond. And it is not just Covid-19 scenarios that need to be understood, but other global, national and local issues that will impact over the medium term, including the impact of a no deal Brexit trade deal, and new government policies such as those expected on devolution and health and social care integration.


As already noted, places will vary depending on their socio-economic and demographic characteristics, but all councils are working through demand impacts arising from the ongoing pandemic and the associated recession, and ensuring their workforce continue to be supported to ensure they remain personally resilient.

Until a vaccine has been successfully produced and rolled out, the public health threat remains, and there are likely to be further local lockdowns, such as we have seen in Leicester and towns in the north west of England. There could be difficult trade offs for national and local politicians to consider to avert further waves of restrictions. For example to keep schools open after they return in September, will there be a need to increase restrictions elsewhere to ensure the cases of Covid-19 remain at a management level?

Local government has always demonstrated a remarkable resilience in managing significant challenges, including ten years of austerity, and being at the forefront of the pandemic response. And whilst much uncertainty remains, we are confident that councils will continue to demonstrate the capacity to lead places, deliver services


Example scenarios

Scenario 1 – swift return to normality



Example scenarios (Cont'd)

Scenario 2 – second wave and ongoing disruption



Scenarios and hypotheses

Local authority areas in 12-24 months?

Theme	Reasonable worst case	Reasonable best case
People & community	 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Multiple lockdowns and ongoing disruption • Community dependency and expectation of sustained response • Turbulence and activism within the VCS • Socio-economic inequality is compounded • Failure of leisure and cultural services 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Smooth exit from lockdown to a “new normal” • Community mobilisation is channelled into ongoing resilience • Strengthened VCS relationships and focus • Systemic response to inequality is accelerated • Leisure and cultural services adapted to social distancing
Business & economy	 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 16% reduction in GVA for 2020 based on OBR reference scenario • Slow / uneven economic recovery and “long tail” on unemployment • Central gov / BEIS focus investment on areas furthest behind • Loss of tourist & student spend causes unmitigated damage • 'V' shaped recovery results in 2-3 year recovery period 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 5-10% reduction in GVA • Rapid economic recovery with employment levels close behind • Central government “back winners” with investment • Adaptation allows resumption of tourist and student economy • Business base is weighted towards growth sectors
Health & wellbeing	 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased demand and escalating need due to fallout from lockdown • Newly-vulnerable cohorts place strain on the system • Unit costs increase further as markets deteriorate and providers fail • SEND transport unable to adapt to social distancing • Imposed disruption of care system 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Positive lifestyle changes and attitudes to care reduce demand • Needs of newly vulnerable cohorts met through new service models • New investment in prevention and market-shaping manage costs • New ways of working leading to stronger staff retention • Locally-led reform of health and care system
Political & regulatory	 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local government side-lined by a centralised national recovery effort • Unfunded burdens (e.g. enforcement and contact-tracing) • Councils in the firing line for mismanaging recovery 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local government empowered as leaders of place-based recovery • Devolution and empowerment of localities • Councils at the forefront of civic and democratic renewal
Environment	 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opportunity missed to capture and sustain environmental benefits • The end of the high street / town centres • Emissions and air quality worsened by avoidance of public transport • Capital programmes stuck 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ability to invest in transport modal shift and green infrastructure • Changed working patterns rejuvenate town centres • Sustained impact on emissions due to new behaviours • New, shovel-ready infrastructure programmes
Organisational	 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inadequate funding forces fiscal constraint • Working practices return to status quo – increased operating costs • Imposed structural change within the place • Austerity 2 • Commercial portfolio becomes a liability 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adequate funding enables a programme of targeted investment • Learning and adaptation to new operating environment • Energised system-wide collaboration and reform • Fiscal reform and civic renewal • Commercial portfolio reshaped for economic and social gain

What strategy is needed in response?

From response to recovery

Learn, adapt and prioritise

- Develop and test hypotheses around impact on place, services, operations, finances
- Design rapid interventions - implement, test and evaluate
- Learning from the response to lock in the good stuff – reflection on operations, services and the system
- Set priorities and principles – what is the Council's purpose in an uncertain context and where will it focus?

Mitigating the worst case

Consolidate and build resilience

- Ensure that emergency management and response structures are resilient for the long haul
- What is the minimum operating model to deliver this?
- Predict and model demand for social care and assess care market vulnerability
- Contingency plans for structural disruption
- Re-evaluate infrastructure pipeline

Steering towards the best case

Invest in renewal

- Programme of priority-based investment framed by recovery and renewal
- Focus on inequality, community resilience, targeted economic stimulus, skills and employment support and adapting public spaces
- Continued system leadership, pushing for positive reform and resilience

In-depth insight into the impact of Covid-19 on financial reporting in the local government sector – Grant Thornton

In June Grant Thornton published a report to help officers and elected members identify points they should consider when assessing and reporting the impact of Covid-19 on their authority. Each authority will be impacted in different ways and will need to make their own assessment of the impact on their financial statements. However, the report identified some of the key challenges for the sector, along with the potential financial reporting and regulatory impact, to support preparers of local authority accounts navigate through some of these key issues. The report also included a number of useful links to other resources.

The extraordinary events we are living through follow a decade of austerity, triggered by the financial crisis of 2008/09, which had already placed considerable strain on local authorities' finances. Increased demand for many local public services, directly related to the outbreak of the virus, has placed immediate pressure on authorities' cash flows and expenditure budgets. The longer-term consequences of recession and unemployment on demand for services have yet to be experienced.

At the same time, several important sources of local authority income including Council Tax, Non-domestic (business) rates, fees and charges, rents and investment returns have, to a greater or lesser extent, been subject to reduction or suspension. This perfect storm of conditions presents a real threat to the financial sustainability of the sector. Now, more than ever, strong political and executive leadership is needed to re-establish priorities, review strategies and medium-term financial plans and ensure that public funds are being used as efficiently and effectively as possible. A balance has to be struck between responding to the needs of residents and businesses in a timely manner, protecting the most vulnerable and ensuring appropriate measures and controls around financial management are in place to mitigate against future 'financial shock'. In doing so, iterative scenario planning will help officers and elected members to take informed decisions at key stages, revisiting and revising plans along the way.

The report considered:

- Operational challenges and the related financial reporting/regulatory impact
- Government support schemes – considering the accounting implications
- Significant financial reporting issues to consider
- Other sector issues and practicalities to consider
- Impact on audit work/external scrutiny process
- Engagement with experts

In terms of key financial reporting considerations for 2019/20, consideration should be given to:

Information published with accounts

- Does the Narrative Report reflect the urgency of the situation, the changes to Council services as a result of lockdown, the partnership arrangements in place, the impact of the pandemic on income and expenditure and possible future scenarios, the impact on savings programmes, the capital programme, treasury management, medium term financial plans and the Council's communications strategy (noting this is not an exhaustive list)?
- Does the Annual Governance Statement reflect significant developments between 31 March 2020 and the finalisation of the accounts? Does the AGS describe emergency governance arrangements for decision making, the postponement of elections, the transition to virtual meetings and plans for the return to normal democratic processes?

Non-current asset valuations

- There has been a significant increase in volatility and uncertainty in markets following the outbreak of Covid-19. RICS has issued a Valuation Practice Alert following the pandemic, and we are aware a significant number of valuers are including 'material valuation uncertainty' disclosures within their reports. Has the Council assessed the impact of such comments, reflected 'material valuation uncertainty' disclosures within the financial statements and taken account of the requirement of Code paragraph 3.4.2.90 to provide appropriate disclosure in their financial statements in relation to major sources of estimation uncertainty?

Non-current asset valuations

- The Council is required to make an assessment at the end of each reporting period as to whether there is any indication that assets may be impaired. There are several types of event or change in circumstance that could indicate an impairment may have occurred, including evidence of obsolescence or physical damage or a commitment to undertake a significant reorganisation. Has the Council assessed whether the impact of the pandemic may have triggered impairments?
- Has the Council considered these matters in relation to Investment Property held? Potentially more so for 2020/21, there may be significant declines in asset carrying values, especially for investments in retail or office premises.

Impairment of receivables

- IFRS 9 *Financial Instruments* introduced an expected credit loss model for financial assets which drives earlier recognition of impairments. Has the Council assessed the impact of the pandemic on its expectation of credit losses?
- Impairment of statutory Council Tax and Non-domestic rate debtor balances is also possible. Has the Council observed a measurable decrease in estimated future cashflow, for example an increase in the number of delayed payments? Has the Council considered whether recent historical loss experience across aged debt may also need revision where current information indicates the historical experience doesn't reflect current conditions? Experience following the 2008/09 financial crisis may prove to be a useful reference point, given the ensuing recession conditions.

Events after the reporting period

- By 31 March 2020 enough was known about the pandemic for accounts preparers and market participants to reflect and, if necessary, adjust assumptions and assessments. By the end of March 2020, it would be extremely difficult to say that the pandemic was not an event that existed and therefore any accounting impact that occurred after this date is not an adjusting event.
- Has the Council distinguished between subsequent events that are adjusting (i.e. those that provide further evidence of conditions that existed at the reporting date) and non-adjusting (i.e. those that are indicative of conditions that arose after the reporting date)? Has the Council got arrangements in place to assess events up to the date the final accounts are authorised for issue?

Sources of estimation uncertainty

Has the Council identified the assumptions required about the future and estimates at the end of the current reporting period that have a significant risk of resulting in a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities within the next financial year? Have these been appropriately disclosed in accordance with the requirements of IAS 1 paras 125-133?

2019/20 financial statements are being prepared in an environment of heightened uncertainty as a result of the pandemic and the situation is evolving and fast moving. We have drawn out some of the key considerations for local authority financial reporting here, but further details can be found in our full report available on the Grant Thornton website:

<https://www.grantthornton.co.uk/globalassets/1.-member-firms/united-kingdom/pdf/publication/2020/impact-of-covid19-on-financial-reporting-local-government-sector.pdf>



Guide for Audit and Risk Committees on Financial Reporting and Management during COVID-19 – National Audit Office

In June the National Audit Office (NAO) published a guide that “aims to help audit and risk committee members discharge their responsibilities and to examine the impacts on their organisations of the COVID-19 outbreak. It is part of a programme of work undertaken by the NAO to support Parliament in its scrutiny of the UK government’s response to COVID-19.”

The NAO report notes “Audit and risk committees are integral to the scrutiny and challenge process. They advise boards and accounting officers on matters of financial accountability, assurance and governance, and can support organisations, providing expert challenge, helping organisations focus on what is important, and how best to manage risk.

Each organisation will have existing risk management processes in place, but risk appetite may have changed as a result of COVID-19, for the organisation to operate effectively and respond in a timely manner. This may result in a weakening of controls in some areas, increasing the likelihood of other risks occurring. Organisations will need to consider how long this change in risk appetite is sustainable for.”

The NAO comment “This guide aims to help audit and risk committee members discharge their responsibilities in several different areas, and to examine the impacts on their organisations of the COVID-19 outbreak, including on:


- annual reports;
- financial reporting;
- the control environment; and
- regularity of expenditure.

In each section of the guide we have set out some questions to help audit and risk committee members to understand and challenge activities. Each section can be used on its own, although we would recommend that audit and risk committee members consider the whole guide, as the questions in other sections may be interrelated. Each individual section has the questions at the end, but for ease of use all the questions are included in Appendix One.

The guide may also be used as organisations and audit and risk committees consider reporting in the 2020-21 period.”

The full report can be obtained from the NAO website:

<https://www.nao.org.uk/report/guidance-for-audit-and-risk-committees-on-financial-reporting-and-management-during-covid-19/>



Place-Based Growth - 'Unleashing counties' role in levelling up England' – Grant Thornton

In March Grant Thornton launched a new place-based growth report 'Unleashing counties' role in levelling up England. The report, produced in collaboration with the County Councils Network, provides evidence and insight into place-based growth through the lens of county authority areas. It unpacks the role of county authorities in delivering growth over the past decade through: desk-based research, data analysis and case study consultations with 10 county authorities (Cheshire East, Cornwall, Durham, Essex, Hertfordshire, North Yorkshire, Nottinghamshire, Oxfordshire, Staffordshire, Surrey).

The report reveals:

- Growth, as measured by Gross Added Value (GVA), in county areas has lagged behind the rest of the country by 2.6% over the last five years. GVA in the 36 county areas has grown by 14.1% between 2014 and 2018, compared to 16.7% for the rest of England.
- In total, 25 of these counties have grown at a rate slower than the rest of the country. The research finds no north-south divide, as the county areas experiencing some of the smallest economic growth are Herefordshire (5.3%), Oxfordshire (5.6%) and Cumbria (8.2%), Gloucestershire (9.2%), and Wiltshire (9.7%) – showing that one size fits all policies will not work.
- Some 30 of the 36 county authority areas have workplace productivity levels below the England average. At the same time, counties have witnessed sluggish business growth, with county authorities averaging 7.9% growth over the last five years – almost half of that of the rest of the country's figure of 15.1% over the period 2014 to 2019.

To address these regional disparities in growth and local powers, the report's key recommendations include:


- Rather than a focus on the 'north-side divide', government economic and investment assessments should identify those places where the economic 'gap' is greatest – Either to the national average or between different places – and focus investment decisions on closing that gap and levelling up local economies.

- The devolution white paper must consider how devolution of powers to county authorities could assist in levelling-up the country. This should include devolving significant budgets and powers down to councils, shaped around existing county authorities and local leadership but recognising the additional complexity in two-tier local authority areas and whether structural changes are required.
- Growth boards should be established in every county authority area. As part of this a statutory duty should be placed on county authorities to convene and coordinate key stakeholders (which could include neighbouring authorities). These growth boards should be governed by a national framework which would cover the agreed 'building blocks' for growth – powers, governance, funding and capacity.
- Planning responsibilities should be reviewed with responsibility for strategic planning given to county authorities. In line with the recently published final report of the Building Better, Building Beautiful Commission, the government should consider how county authorities, along with neighbouring unitary authorities within the county boundary, could take a more material role in the strategic and spatial planning process.

- The National Infrastructure Commission should ensure greater consideration of the infrastructure requirements in non-metropolitan areas. Their national infrastructure assessments could consider how better investment in infrastructure outside metropolitan areas could link to wider growth-related matters that would help to level up the economy across the country.

The full report can be obtained from the Grant Thornton website:

<https://www.grantthornton.co.uk/en/insights/unleashing-counties-role-in-levelling-up-england/>



Future Procurement and Market Supply Options Review – Public Sector Audit Appointments

Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA) has commissioned an independent review of the sustainability of the local government audit market. The review was undertaken by an independent consultancy, Touchstone Renard.

PSAA note that the report “draws on the views of audit firms active in the local authority market as well as others that are not. In doing so it identifies a number of distinctive challenges in the current local audit market. In particular it highlights the unprecedented scrutiny and significant regulatory pressure on the auditing profession; the challenges of a demanding timetable which expects publication of audited accounts by 31 July each year; and the impact of austerity on local public bodies and its effect on both the complexity of the issues auditors face and the capacity of local finance teams”.

Key findings in the report include:

- A lack of experienced local authority auditors as the main threat to the future sustainability of the market.
- It will be difficult to bring the non-approved firms into the market.
- Of the nine approved firms, only five have current contracts with PSAA.
- Almost all of the approved firms have reservations about remaining in the market.
- Firms perceive that their risks have increased since bids were submitted for the current contracts.
- The timing of local audits is problematic.

Key issues for the next procurement round include:

- Number of lots and lot sizes.
- Lot composition.
- Length of contracts.
- Price:quality ratio.

The report notes that “PSAA will need to balance the views of the firms with wider considerations including the needs of audited bodies and the requirement to appoint an auditor to every individual body opting in to its collective scheme”.



The full report can be obtained from the PSAA website:

<https://www.psa.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/PSAA-Future-Procurement-and-Market-Supply-Options-Review.pdf>

CIPFA – Financial Scrutiny Practice Guide

Produced by the Centre for Public Scrutiny (CfPS) and CIPFA, this guide provides guidance to councils and councillors in England on how they might best integrate an awareness of council finances into the way that overview and scrutiny works.

The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on council finances, uncertainty regarding the delayed fair funding review and future operations for social care – on top of a decade of progressively more significant financial constraints – has placed local government in a hugely challenging position.


For the foreseeable future, council budgeting will be even more about the language of priorities and difficult choices than ever before.

This guide suggests ways to move budget and finance scrutiny beyond set-piece scrutiny 'events' in December and quarterly financial performance scorecards being reported to committee. Effective financial scrutiny is one of the few ways that councils can assure themselves that their budget is robust and sustainable, and that it intelligently takes into account the needs of residents.

Scrutiny can provide an independent perspective, drawing directly on the insights of local people, and can challenge assumptions and preconceptions. It can also provide a mechanism to ensure an understanding tough choices that councils are now making.

This paper has been published as the local government sector is seeking to manage the unique set of financial circumstances arising from the COVID-19 pandemic. This has resulted, through the Coronavirus Act 2020 and other legislation, in changes to local authorities' formal duties around financial systems and procedures.

The approaches set out in this guide reflect CfPS and CIPFA's thinking on scrutiny's role on financial matters as things stand, but the preparation for the 2021/22 budget might look different. CfPS has produced a separate guide to assist scrutineers in understanding financial matters during the pandemic



The full report can be obtained from CIPFA's website:

<https://www.cipfa.org/policy-and-guidance/reports/financial-scrutiny-practice-guide>

